



# 1961-2011: 50(+1) Years of Hayashi Tracks Francesco Palla - Osservatorio di Arcetri

*Kyoto, May 21, 2012* 

# Pre-MS Evolution: classical theory



Hayashi Phase 1961

PMS contraction initially fully convective case: 1 M<sub>0</sub> HHS 1962

> lower T<sub>eff</sub> for larger E

### Comparison with Observations

#### STUDIES OF EXTREMELY YOUNG CLUSTERS. I. NGC 2264

Merle F. Walker\*

Mount Wilson and Palomar Observatories Carnegie Institution of Washington, California Institute of Technology Received May 21, 1956

#### ABSTRACT

Three-color photoelectric and photographic observations of NGC 2264 have been obtained to V = 17, in order to investigate the color-magnitude diagram of an extremely young cluster of stars. The diagram indicates that the cluster possesses a normal main sequence extending from O7 to A0, below which the stars fall above the main sequence. The reality of this effect has been confirmed by spectroscopic observations. The shape of the color-magnitude diagram agrees approximately with that predicted theoretically for clusters which are so young that the fainter stars are still in the process of contracting gravitationally from the prestellar medium and have not yet reached the main sequence. The age of the cluster given by the point where the cluster stars depart from the main sequence is about  $3 \times 10^6$  years.





### Comparison with Observations: NGC 2264



FIG. 3. Curves for constant ages for stars with different masses as compared with the H-R diagram of NGC 2264. Solid curves (t in years) and dotted lines (t' in years) correspond to the present calculation and to the results by SALPETER and HENYEY et. al., respectively.

# Pre-MS Evolution: classical theory

•A PMS star contracts due to heat loss at a rate

$$L_{surf} = 4 \pi R_*^2 \sigma T_{eff}^4$$

•*The star is fully convective* 

 $S_{int} = constant$ 

•Homologous contraction on a timescale

 $t_{KH} = GM_*^2 / R_*L \gg t_{sound} \rightarrow hydro \ equil.$ 

•Star is fully convective due large radius

 $R_* = 60 \ (M_*/M_0) \ R_0 \rightarrow L_{surf} (M_* = 1M_0) \sim 600 \ L_0$ 

 $L_{surf} \gg L_{rad} = L_0 (M_*/M_0)^{5.5} (M_0/M_*)^{0.5}$ 

•Convection from the surface

# Pre-MS Evolution: M-R relation

•A protostar of mass M and radius R forms from a cold, static cloud

 $E_{int}$  (thermal+mechanical) =0

The protostars is gravitationally bound with a negative total energy → energy is lost by radiation, dissociation & ionization

 $\Delta E_{int} = XM_*/m_H \left[ \Delta E_{diss}^{H2}/2 + \Delta E_{ion} \right] + YM_*\Delta E_{ion}^{He}/4 m_H$ •The thermal energy is U=-W/2 and the virial theorem

$$0 = -1/2 \ GM_*^2 / R_* + \Delta E_{int} + L_{rad} t$$

•If  $L_{rad} = 0$ , homologous & adiabatic contraction

$$R_{max} = GM_*^2 / \Delta E_{int} \sim 60 R_0 (M_*/M_0)$$

## Pre-MS Evolution: better approach

Models of contracting stars:convectively unstable,spatially constant entropy → homologous contraction
 Thermal evolution is simple

$$t_{conv} << t_{contr} = t_{KH} = GM_*^2 / R_* L_*$$

•Over 
$$t_{KH}$$
:  $s_{int} \downarrow \rightarrow T_c \uparrow (\sim R_*^{-1})$ 

• Since  $t_{KH} >> t_{sound} \rightarrow$  assume hydrostatic equilibrium despite slow quasi-static contraction

•Stars are not fully convective: contraction in  $t_{KH}$ , but non-homologous; s varies with  $t_{photon \ diff} \rightarrow$  variable!

# Pre-MS Evolution: standard theory

- Stars move from the forbidden zone to the border
- They descend vertical paths
- They join radiative tracks
- They reach the Main Sequence





### Protostar & Pre-MS Evolution

- Recall Hayashi's assumption  $0 = -\frac{1}{2} GM_*^2 / R_* + \Delta E_{int} + L_{rad} t$ • During collapse, gravit. energy ~ radiative energy  $R_* << R_{max}$
- •Set  $M_{acc} = M_*/t$  and  $L_{rad} \sim L_{acc}$   $L_{acc} = G M_* M_{acc} / R_* \sim$  $60 L_0 (M_{acc} / 10^{-5} M_0 yr^{-1}) (M_* / 1 M_0) (R_* / 5 R_0)$
- $L_{rad} \sim L_{acc}$  throughout main accretion phase  $L_{acc}$  generated close to the protostar's surface  $L_{acc} > L_{nucl}$ ,  $L_{contraction}$  for low- and intermediate mass stars

# Models of protostellar evolution

numerically solve the detailed structure of accreting protostars.

(e.g., Palla & Stahler '91, Omukai & Palla '03)



• constant acc. rate :  $10^{-6} M_{\odot}/\mathrm{yr} \leq \dot{M} \leq 10^{-2} M_{\odot}/\mathrm{yr}$ 

# Effects of geometry of accretion

Shock boundary condition



Accretion flow structure is solved, and connected with the protostar by the shock jump condition

### High influx of entropy

Photospheric boundary condition



Gas softly accretes to the protostar through the disk. Flow structure is not solved, but ordinary photospheric boundary is adopted.

Low influx of entropy

### Protostar & Pre-MS Evolution

- *Protostar:* a mass gaining star whose luminosity stems from external accretion
- Assume: that the relation  $M_p vs R_p$  determines the initial conditions for PMS contraction

- that  $t_{PMS} < t_{acc}$ 

• Then, follow standard PMS evolutionary tracks...

# Pre-MS Evolution: standard theory

- Stars move from the forbidden zone to the border yes, but HRD much more reduced
- •*They descend vertical paths*

yes & not: not all of them do

• They join radiative tracks

yes, all of them

• They reach the Main Sequence

indeed! ... but massive stars are born on the MS







## PMS evolution: main features



### low :<2 $M_0$

unchanged no D-MS tests: Li-depl, binaries

*intermediate:* ~2-8  $M_0$  thermal relaxation no convection short life time

high: >8 M<sub>0</sub> no PMS phase H-burning stars on ZAMS

Palla & Stahler 1993,1999



Zinnecker H, Yorke HW. 2007. Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 45:481–563





Census of Herbig stars in nearby OB assoc's:

440 Hipparcos stars d<500 pc,age: 3-16 Myr

good match with HRD

inner disk frequency lower by factor of ~10 than in low-mass stars: rapid disk evolution

Hernandez + 2005

# Sample of Herbig Ae/Be stars (2011, Bagnulo et al. MNRAS)



LETTER TO THE EDITOR

# First firm spectral classification of an early-B pre-main-sequence star: B275 in M17\*

B.B. Ochsendorf<sup>1</sup>, L.E. Ellerbroek<sup>1</sup>, R. Chini<sup>2,3</sup>, O.E. Hartoog<sup>1</sup>, V. Hoffmeister<sup>2</sup>, L.B.F.M. Waters<sup>4,1</sup>, and L. Kaper<sup>1</sup>



# Compact disk around a ~20 M<sub>0</sub> YSO (speckle + AMBER/VLTI)

• Disk: low degree of asymmetry...

problems for self-grav. disks

•Absence of nearby companion (>10 mas)...

problems for mergers, comp. accretion



PMS evolutionary models provide two fundamental astrophysical quantities: IMF  $MASS \rightarrow$ AGE SFH

## *Revisiting the Orion Nebula Cluster* 2009-2011 In coll'n: **N. Da Rio**, M. Robberto, L. Hillenbrand, K. Stassun

 HST Treasury Program on ONC – PI M. Robberto
 → multicolor visible photomtery w/ highest spatial resolution and sensitivity
 Ancillary data: CTIO-NIR & WFI/ESO-optical







# **Revisiting the ONC**

HST Treasury Program – PI M. Robberto



blue: from H97, excluding
stars with membership P<50%
red: stars with new spectral
types
green: M-type with Teff from
TiO index</pre>

Contamination by fore/background sources with unknown membership: 2-3%

### Da Rio+ 10

### The Initial Mass Function



Significant differences for log M<~-0.3, both flatten: Siess - clear turnover below 0.2  $M_0$ , overabundance @0.2-0.3 PS99 - modest change of slope, agreement with Kroupa IMF

# Age distribution shaded: full sample - blue: completeness corrected



Siess: average ages ~3 Myr within 1σ: 2.5 – 5 Myr spread ~0.4 dex

PS99: average ages ~2 Myr within 1σ: 1.2 – 3.2 Myr spread ~0.3 dex

Real vs artificial spread (combined effect...)

### ON THE RELIABILITY OF STELLAR AGES AND AGE SPREADS INFERRED FROM PRE-MAIN-SEQUENCE EVOLUTIONARY MODELS



#### An Evolutionary Model for the Star Formation Efficiency in Gravitationally Collapsing Molecular Clouds.

Manuel Zamora-Aviles<sup>1</sup> & Enrique Vázquez-Semadeni<sup>1</sup>



#### Rapid Star Formation and Global Gravitational Collapse

Lee Hartmann<sup>1</sup>, Javier Ballesteros-Paredes<sup>2</sup>, Fabian Heitsch<sup>3</sup>

local gas densities. We show that two different numerical simulations of dynamic, flowdriven molecular cloud formation and evolution 1) predict age spreads for the main stellar population roughly consistent with observations, and 2) raise the possibility of forming small numbers of stars early in cloud evolution, before global contraction concentrates the gas and the bulk of the stellar population is produced. In general, the existence of a small number of older stars among a generally much-younger population is consistent with the picture of dynamic star formation, and may even provide clues to the time evolution of star-forming clouds.







# Popular models





*Baraffe & Chabrier* 1997, 1998...



Siess 2000



D'Antona & Mazzitelli 1994, 1997, 1998...



Palla & Sthaler 1993, 1999



*Demarque* Y<sup>2</sup> 2001, 2002,2004



# NGC 3603: internal dynamics (1997-2007)

 $\sigma_{1D}$ =4.5±0.8 km/s

Stars with M=1.7-9 Mo have same vel. disp. → cluster not virialized...

M<sub>dyn</sub>=17600 Mo vs M<sub>cl</sub>=10-16000 Mo

Stars in the r-c gap: 3.5-3.8 Mo



Older 4 Myr stars  $\rightarrow$  previous episode... multiple formation...





Only HST has the required sensitivity and f.o.v. coverage: impossible to do repeated imaging, spectroscopy of



*monitoring. Advantages:*1. PMS population
easily distinguished from
field via CMDs
2. Large samples of stars

large samples, X-ray

for statistical analyses

Gouliermis 2012



*Getting the ~complete IMFs down to sub-solar masses...* 

*knee at* ~1  $M_0$  *and power-laws as in the galactic IMF* 



# Present & Future of PMS Evolution

- Reconstruct Star Formation History of Clusters
   and Associations & IMF → galactic and extragalactic
   Local Group and beyond...
- •Fundamental quantities: distance, membership, stellar parameters, abundances
- •Main issues: coevality vs age spread, IMF, disk evolution, binaries
- •Useful diagnostics: Li, surface g, abundances, activity...
- •Observations: GAIA-ESO Survey of SFRs/Clusters GAIA...

THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, 308:697-705, 1986 September 15

#### PRIMORDIAL STELLAR EVOLUTION: THE PRE-MAIN-SEQUENCE PHASE

STEVEN W. STAHLER Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics and Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

> FRANCESCO PALLA Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri

> > AND

E. E. SALPETER Center for Radiophysics and Space Research, Cornell University Received 1986 February 18; accepted 1986 March 7

# Looking forward to PMS stars & evolution in Pop III...







# DOMO ARIGATO